WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417) justin@wilshirelawfirm.com Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664) benjamin@wilshirelawfirm.com Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676) afatahi@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 381-9988 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989 Attorneys for Plaintiff			
	10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
	11	FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA			
	12	ELEXIS SNELL, individually, on behalf of all	Case No.: CVCV22-	199416	
	13	others similarly situated, and on behalf of the State of California and other aggroed persons,	CLASS ACTION		
	14 15	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all pur Tamra L. Wood, Dep	poses to Honorable t. 8]	
	16 17	V. SHASTA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	NOTICE OF ORDI PLAINITFF'S MO PRELIMINARY A ACTION SETTLE	TION FOR PPROVAL OF CLASS	
	18	Defendants.			
	19 20		Complaint filed: FAC filed:	March 29, 2022 November 18, 2022	
	21		Trial date:	Not Set	
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				

Dated: June 25, 2024

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court in the above-referenced matter has granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. A true and correct copy of the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

Justin F. Marquez Benjamin H. Haber Arrash T. Fattahi

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Exhibit A

28

The Court has before it Plaintiff Elexis Snell's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (which is referred to here as the "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Elexis Snell and Defendant Shasta Community Health Center ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 1.
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of \$1,500,000 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$50,000.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, with 75% of which (\$37,500.00) will be paid to the LWDA and 25% (\$12,500.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$10,000.00 for Plaintiff Elexis Snell; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$500,000.00), and up to \$35,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$13,500.00.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair

and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.
- 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by SCHC [Defendant] in California and classified as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee during the Class Period."
 - 6. "Class Period" means the period from March 29, 2018 to July 21, 2023.
 - 7. "PAGA Period" means the period from June 22, 2021 to July 21, 2023.
- 8. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 9. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Elexis Snell. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$10,000.00.
- 10. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Justin F. Marquez, Benjamin H. Haber, and Arrash T. Fattahi of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$500,000.00), and costs not to exceed \$35,000.00.
- 11. The Court appoints CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$10.000.00.
- 12. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 13. The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.
 - 15. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendants to provide Class List to the	15 days after the Court's order granting	
Settlement Administrator	Motion for Preliminary Approval	
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice	14 days after receipt of the Class List from	
Packets	the Defendant	
Response Deadline	As days after Notice is mailed out by the	
Response Deadline 807	Settlement Administrator	
Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if	45 days after Notice is mailed out by the	
any	Settlement Administrator	

Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval,			
Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and	16 court days before hearing on Motion for		
Service Award to Plaintiff	Final Approval		
	11-12-24 at 8:30 (a.m./p.m., or		
	first available date thereafter, in Department		
Final Approval Hearing	8. The hearing may be continued to another		
	date without further notice to the Class		
	Members.		
16. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all			
proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.			
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
JUN - 3 2024	RENIAMAN		
DATE:	Hon. Tamara L. Wood Banjanin L		
	Tion. Tamara L. Wood Benjamine		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Shasta County Superior Court		
	Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff Final Approval Hearing 16. The Court further ORDERS that, proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contem IT IS SO ORDERED.		

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

PROOF OF SERVICE